

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/526,971	KATZ, ANDRE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARY A. DAVIS	3748	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) MARY A. DAVIS. (3) _____.

(2) Robert Goozner (Reg. No. 42,593). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 December 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112 1st Paragraph new matter in claim 23.

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A proposed Examiner's Amendment was provided to the applicant with the following changes:

- - 23. (Currently amended) The machine according to claim 19, wherein the angular displacement of the profiles from one end surface of the profiled members to the other is hardly greater than required for a chamber at its maximum volume to be [simultaneously] adjacent to a disappearing chamber at one axial end of the profiled members and isolated for a short instant from [te] an appearing chamber at the other axial end of the profiled members. - - . The applicant wants the terminology of "simultaneously" to describe their apparatus, and put forth an argument that the terminology of "simultaneously" no longer refers to the opening and closing of the chambers "simultaneously". The Examiner has reconsidered their arguments (see Examiner's comments for further details)